Gr A 1036 192 (02)

189/(Jail)
3. R /7-86/500

Division Bench (Criminal)

APPEAL OF PRISONER

CF0000058818

No 1043/47 Name रामपुजाय

Father's name

Residence ग्रा॰ कटरा थाना सिंहपुर जिला बिलासपुर Age 35 वर्ष

Sentenced to आजीवन कारावास on 25-8-92

Under section H.S 302 IPC by सेशन जज बिलासपुर (म॰प्र॰)

---

it is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appelate court will not proceed with the case for seven days unless the legal paactitioner appears earlier. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days, he may not be heard at all if the prisnor states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner, the court may proceed at once wich the case and will not be obliged to give at hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of Application for copy of Judgement स्वयं के द्वारा 25-8-92.
2. Date of which copy received 25-8-92
3. Date on which Appeal sent 29/8/92
4. Whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not- (yes/No.)

No. 1043/47 Name रामपुजाय

Confined in जिला Jail प्र॰ जे॰ बिलासपुर (म॰प्र॰)

No. 967/Accounts/92 dated 29/8/ 1992

Forwarded to the **CHIFF** JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE रजिस्ट्रार, अ॰ उ॰ न्या॰ जबलपुर (म॰प्र॰)

together with a copy of Judgement and order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appelliate Court.

IGS-[illegible]

[illegible] Superintendent Jail [illegible] 28/8/92

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... Cr. Appeal No. 1036/92 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

D.B. Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin
& Hon'ble Shri D.R.Deshmukh, J.J.

19-07-2005

None for the appellant.

Shri Akhil Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Learned counsel for the State informs that the appellant has been released from Central Jail, Raipur, on **15-08-2001** after undergoing sentence, on the eve of " Independence Day ". A written submission is also filed in this behalf.

The absence of the appellant and his counsel is also indicative of the fact that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing this appeal any more.

In view of the above facts and circumstances and also in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Ram Naresh Yadav and others Vs. State of Bihar*** reported in ***AIR 1987 SC 1500***, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Sd/-
Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh
Judge

Santosh